

Axial Protocadherin Is a Mediator of Prenotochord Cell Sorting in *Xenopus*

Hiroki Kuroda,* Masafumi Inui,† Kaoru Sugimoto,* Tadayoshi Hayata,* and Makoto Asashima*^{,†,1}

*Department of Life Sciences, ‡CREST Project, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8902, Japan; and †Department of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-8654, Japan

Prenotochord cell sorting is regarded as one of the first cell sorting events in early chordate development. We recently demonstrated that this sorting event occurs *in vitro*, although the mediator of this activity remains unidentified. Herein, we report the isolation of a full-length cDNA clone of *Axial protocadherin (AXPC)*, the homologue of human *protocadherin-1 (PCD1)*. *AXPC* encodes a transmembrane protein (AXPC) that is expressed exclusively in the notochord at the neurula stage and in the pronephros, somites, heart, optic vesicle, otic vesicle, and distinct parts of the brain at the tailbud stage. Cell dissociation and reaggregation assays and *in vivo* microinjection experiments demonstrated that cells overexpressing a membrane-tethered form of AXPC (MT-AXPC) acquired the same adhesive properties as prenotochord cells. Moreover, microinjection of either mRNA encoding the dominant negative form of *AXPC (DN-AXPC)* or morpholino oligonucleotides interferes with the sorting activity of prenotochord cells and normal axis formation. This study suggests that AXPC is necessary and sufficient for prenotochord cell sorting in the gastrulating embryo, and may also mediate sorting events later in development. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)

Key Words: cadherin; protocadherin; AXPC; PAPC; Xenopus; sorting; adhesive properties.

INTRODUCTION

The notochord is one of the most important signaling centers in early chordate development. The anterioposterior and dorsoventral axes in vertebrates are regulated in part by the organizer region of the embryo (Hamburger, 1988; Holtfreter, 1988; Spemann, 1938; Spemann and Mangold, 1924). The majority of the organizer parts, together, form the embryological notochord, which induces cell identity along the dorsoventral (Hatta *et al.*, 1991; Krauss *et al.*, 1993; Roelink *et al.*, 1994; Yamada *et al.*, 1991) and anterioposterior axes of the neural tube (Hemmati-Brivanlou *et al.*, 1990), and along the dorsoventral axis of the somites (Dietrich *et al.*, 1993; Halpern *et al.*, 1993; Pourquie *et al.*, 1993). The notochord also plays a role in the specification of cardiac left-right orientation in Zebrafish and *Xenopus* (Danos and Yost, 1996) and in the

induction of pancreatic tissue following repression of endodermal signaling in chick embryos (Hebrok *et al.*, 1998; Kim *et al.*, 1997).

One of the most important roles of the notochord in chordate development may be in providing structural support for the embryo. This is achieved by elongating and straightening the embryo from gastrula to tailbud stage using a thread of notochord in the dorsal region derived from a part of the Spemann's Organizer (Adams *et al.*, 1990; Spemann, 1938). This dynamic movement from organizer to notochord depends not only on convergent extension but also on prenotochord cell sorting. When axial mesodermal cells, including the prenotochord cells, and other fated cells are brought together to form a mixed aggregate, the axial mesodermal cells have to move to the central region of the hemisphere (Townes *et al.*, 1955, Kuroda *et al.*, 1999). We propose that an adhesive molecule is required for the sorting of these axial mesodermal cells.

It is unlikely that similar cell types aggregate via heterophilic binding. We therefore propose that axial mesoderm cell sorting is achieved via homophilic binding, and ad-

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: +81-3-5454-6993 (Office); +81-3-5454-4330 (Lab). E-mail: asashi@bio.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

MKRTATACFL	WLFFQLPILG	SSTKVAYRVP	EEQPPNILIG	SLASDYGYPD	5
SKHLYKLELG	HPYLRVDGKT	GDIYTTETSI	DRESLRECQN	LEPGRSAFWS	10
LKCPYRLMSP	VPRLLDGQIE	ILDINDNTPN	FNSPVITLSI	PENTMVGMLF	15
PIPAATDRDS	GVNGVSSYEL	IVGPEAQDLF	GLQVAEDQDE	KQPQLIVMGK	20
LDREQRDSYD	LTIKVQDGGT	PARASSALLR	ISILDTNDNA	PKFEKLAYEA	25
ELPENSPMGH	SVLQVKANDS	DQGVNAEIDY	SFHQASDTVR	RLLHLDRSTG	30
LITVQGPIDR	EDVGTLKFSV	IAKDIGANPK	IARTQVTIYM	RDTNDNRPVI	35
EIRGFGLVTH	QGGVANISED	VPVETPVALV	QVSDIDEGVN	AAVTCVVAGD	40
VPFQLKQVSD	TGTDSKKKYF	LQTTTSLDYE	SVKEYTIEIV	AVDSGNPPLS	45
STNSLKVQVT	DVNDNAPVFS	QSLMEVVFPE	NNDINDLVME	VSATDADSGS	50
NAQLHYSILP	DPSARGVFSI	NPDSGQIRVN	MVLDREQREH	YDFHVVAVDK	55
GIPSLKGTAS	VVITVLDRND	NDPKFMLNGY	NFSVMENMPR	LSPVGMVTVI	60
DADKGENAHI	QLSVEPESGE	FVIQNGTGTI	LSSISFDREH	QSTYTFRLKA	65
VDGGDPPRSS	YVGVTINVLD	ENDNAPVIVV	PSNISYKYLT	PETHPGTQVN	70
WVRAEDMDTG	VNAELEYSIA	SGNPFQLFQI	SPNNGEVTLE	KTIIRKHYGL	75
HRLVVRVNDK	GKPSRHGTAL	VHFYINETLT	NQTFVETLLG	HSQDTPLDID	80
IAGDPEYERS	KQRSNIIFGV	IAGIVAVILV	IVVVVARYC	RQKEAKSGYQ	85
AGKKETKDLY	APKQGNKNNK	IKNKVKKSKS	PKPPKPVEED	EETGLQKSLK	90
FNLMSDSVGD	SPRIHLPLNY	PPGSPDLGRH	YRSNSPLPSI	QLQPQSPSAS	95
KKHQVVQDLP	ATNTFVGTGD	NNSTGSDQYS	DYSYRTNTQK	YNHKQVGDYF	10
LDOAARDGTL	AWTDVW 1010	5			1



DLVMEVSATDADSGSNAQLHYSILPDPSARGVFSINPDSGQIRVNMVLDREQREHYDFHVV EVIAEVTASDADSGSNAELYYSLEPEPAAQGLFTISPENGEIQVKTSLDREQRDSYELKVV EVIAEITASDADSGSNAELVYSLEPEPAAKGLFTISPETGEIQVKTSLDREQRESYELKVV

AHIQLSVEPESGEFVIQNGTGTILSSISFDREHQSTYTFRLKAVDG ARVQLSVEQDNGDFVIQNGTGTILSSLSFDREQQSTYTFQLKAVDG AQVQLSVEQDNGDFVIQNGTGTILSSLSFDREQQSTYTFQLKAVDG vance the Ca²⁺-dependent cadherins as candidates to mediate this activity. Cadherin family members play important roles in specific cell-cell adhesion events. Their expression appears to be tightly regulated during development and each tissue or cell type shows a characteristic pattern of cadherin expression (Nose et al., 1988; Takeichi, 1991). Among the cadherin protein family, E-cadherin was first described as L-CAM in chicken (Gallin et al., 1983) and as uvomorulin in mouse (Schuh et al., 1986). Soon after the identification of E-cadherin, P-cadherin and N-cadherin were characterized (Miyatani et al., 1989; Nose and Takeichi, 1986). There are approximately 50 different cadherins expressed across both vertebrates and invertebrates (Nollet et al., 2000). Generally, cadherins containing one signal peptide (SP), four extracellular (EC) domains, one membrane proximal extracellular domain (MPEC), one transmembrane (TM) domain, one membrane proximal conserved domain (MPCD), and a catenin-binding domain (CBD) are known as "classical cadherins" (Fig. 1B, 1). All other cadherins that do not follow this configuration are called "protocadherins." Recently, two novel protocadherin genes were identified in the early Xenopus embryo (Kim et al., 1998). These were Paraxial protocadherin (PAPC), an adhesion molecule expressed in Spemann's organizer and paraxial mesoderm (somites) that mediates cell movement during gastrulation, and a partial sequence of Axial protocadherin (AXPC), which is expressed in axial mesoderm notochord. PAPC plays a role in paraxial mesodermal cell sorting and convergent extension during Xenopus gastrulation. When the partial AXPC was fused in frame with an extracellular (EC) domain and transmembrane domain of PAPC, the hybrid protein exhibited cell adhesion activity. We therefore investigated AXPC as a candidate mediator of prenotochord cell sorting.

We report here the isolation of a full-length *AXPC* clone.

FIG. 1. Structure of the AXPC protein. (A) Amino acid sequence of AXPC. Regions highlighted in red and green demonstrate the signal peptide and the transmembrane domain, respectively. Black line indicates the position of the border between each domains. (B) Protein structures of the major cadherin family members, and the two protocadherins. 1, Classical cadherin structure. 2, Paraxial protocadherin (PAPC, Kim et al., 1998). 3, Axial protocadherin (AXPC), this study. 4, Our constructed membrane-tethered form of AXPC (MT-AXPC). 5, Our constructed soluble dominant-negative form of AXPC (DN-AXPC). 6, Axial protocadherin fragment previously isolated by Kim et al. (1998). 7, The region of our isolated mouse AXPC fragment. SP, signal peptide. EC, extracellular cadherin repeats. MPED, membrane-proximal extracellular domain. TM, transmembrane domain. HYD, hydrophilic domain. MPCD, membrane-proximal conserved domain. CBD, catenin-binding domain. CPD, cytoplasmic domain. (C) Amino acid alignment of Xenopus AXPC (X-AXPC), mouse AXPC (M-AXPC), and the human homologue of AXPC, protocadherin-1 (H-PCD1). The identities between Xenopus-mouse, Xenopus-human and mousehuman were 75, 74, and 96%, respectively.



FIG. 2. Expression of AXPC. (A) Temporal expression analyzed by RT-PCR. Low levels of AXPC expression were detected before gastrulation. Expression levels increased at stage 10, with high levels of expression being maintained by stage 38. (B) AXPC was expressed exclusively in the dorsal marginal zone at stage 10. V, ventral marginal zone. D, dorsal marginal zone. L, lateral marginal zone. no, notochord. so, somite. (C) Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis at late neurula (stage 18). AXPC is expressed in the notochord region at stage 18. Ant., anterior. Post., posterior. (C') Section of (C) clearly shows the AXPC expression in notochord. (D) At stage 28, AXPC was expressed strongly in the pronephros region (pn) and weakly in the somites (so) and the heart (white arrowhead). (D') Enlarged view of (D) shows the expression of AXPC in the optic vesicle (op), otic vesicle (ot), and some parts of central nervous system (white asterisks). (E) AXPC expression in activin-treated animal caps. Animal caps were treated with 0-500 ng/ml of activin for 1 h, then cultured for 5 h. High AXPC expression was detected in 50 or 100 ng/ml activin-treated animal caps, and low levels of AXPC expression were detected in 10 or 500 ng/ml activin-treated animal caps. Note: Concentrations of 50-100 ng/ml are known to most effectively induce notochord in this animal cap assay (Asashima et al., 1990). (F) AXPC expressions in activin-treated dissociation-and-reaggregation animal caps (Fig. 3A, Method 1).

This is the homologue of human *protocadherin-1*. We demonstrate that this molecule functions as a mediator of prenotochord cell sorting, and show that AXPC may participate in the many sorting events of later stage embryos.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dissociation and reaggregation assay

Embryos at the two- or four-cell blastomere stage were coinjected with 10 nl of 1% Texas red-dextran-amine (TRDA) or 1% fluorescein-dextran-amine (FDA) lineage tracers, and control (water) or protocadherin (AXPC or PAPC) mRNA (see Fig. 3A, Method 2). At stage 9, 2 h before gastrulation, animal cap explants were excised and transferred to $\mbox{Ca}^{2+}\mbox{-}\mbox{and}\mbox{ Mg}^{2+}\mbox{-}\mbox{free Steinberg's solution}$ containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (CMFS-BSA). The cells of the inner cell layer detached in approximately 10 min. The outer layer cells were removed and transferred to CMFS-BSA supplemented with 1 ng/ml activin, incubated for 1 h, and washed three times in 100% Steinberg's solution. These treatments were essentially as described by Green et al. (1994, 1997). Dissociated inner layer cells from five TRDA-labeled animal caps were thoroughly mixed with those from five FDA-labeled animal caps, transferred to a 1.5-ml tube containing Steinberg's solution, and reaggregated by centrifugation at 100g for 5 s. The cell mixture was washed again and then incubated for 10-72 h. Embryo staging was carried out according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1967).

Cloning of Full-Length AXPC and PAPC

A stage 12 *Xenopus* gastrula cDNA library was screened with fragments of *Xenopus AXPC* and *PAPC* cDNA clones obtained using the following primers and cycling conditions: *AXPC* (60°C, 30 cycles; forward, 5'-ATCGTGGCTGTTGACTCTGG-3'; reverse, 5'-GTAATGAAGCTGAGCGTTGG-3'); *PAPC* (60°C, 30 cycles; forward, 5'-CGTCTAGCAGATACCAAGAATTC-3'; reverse, 5'-GCTAGTTAGGTGACAGGACAATG-3'). Full-length cDNA clones for both *AXPC* and *PAPC* were cloned into pBluescript vector. The following primers and cycling conditions were used to isolate partial mouse *AXPC* cDNA clones: *M-AXPC* (60°C, 30 cycles; forward, 5'-ACACTGGACTTATCACCGTGC-3'; reverse, 5'-TAGACGTCAATGACAACGCC-3').

RT-PCR Assays

RT-PCR was performed by using the following primers and cycling condition: *AXPC* (58°C, 28 cycles; forward, 5'-AAGGAAGCATT-

Lanes 1–4 indicate *AXPC* expression in the reaggregate treated with activin for 1 h, reaggregated, and cultured for 5 h. Very high expression levels of *AXPC* were detected in the reaggregates treated with 1 ng/ml activin. No expression was detected in the other samples. Note: A concentration of 1 ng/ml induces notochord only in this dissociated and reaggregated animal cap assay (Kuroda *et al.*, 1999). Lanes 5–9 show *AXPC* expression in dissociated cells with 1 ng/ml activin-treatment. Low *AXPC* expression was detected at 30 (lane 6) and 45 min (lane 7) after the initiation of activin treatment, and high *AXPC* expression was detected at 60 (lane 8) and 120 min (lane 9) after the initiation of activin treatment.



D

	red cells	green cells		n	SO	FSE	
а	mt-AXPC (500 pg)	MI	non		8	- 7	87.5
b	mt-AXPC (500 pg)	MI	activin	TR	8	1	12.5
С	mt-AXPC (500 pg)	MI	non		8	0	0
	dn-AXPC (1 ng)	MI					
d	activin (1 ng/ml)	TR	non		8	2	25
	dn-AXPC (1 ng)	MI					
е	mt-AXPC (500 pg)	MI	non		8	0	0
	morpholino (10 ng)	MI					
f	activin (1 ng/ml)	TR	non		6	6	100
	morpholino (10 ng)	MI					
g	fl-PAPC (1 ng)	MI	non		4	4	100
h	fl-PAPC (1 ng)	MI	non		4	4	100
	dn-AXPC (1 ng)	MI					

ATGGCCTCC-3'; reverse, 5'-TTGCTTCGCTCATACTCTGG-3'); ODC (55°C, 25 cycles; forward, 5'-GTCAATGATGGAGTGTATG-GATC-3'; reverse, 5'-TCCATTCCCTCTCCTGAGCAC-3').

Gene Construction

The primers T3 and 5'-CCGGCCTCGAGGGACTTCTGCAAA-CCAGT-3' were used to construct a membrane-tethered form of *AXPC* (pCS2-*MT*-*AXPC*) (50°C, 25 cycles), and T3 and 5'-CC-GGCCTCGAGAACAATTACTGGGGGCATT-3' were used to construct a dominant-negative secreted form of *AXPC* (pCS2-*DN*-*AXPC*) (50°C, 25 cycles). The mutant genes were cloned into the *Eco*RI*Xho*I sites of pCS2+. Morpholino oligo, 5'-GCAGTTGCTGTCCTC-TTCATTGTTA-3', was designed by Gene Tools, LLC Co., and the control morpholino oligo, 5'-AAACCCGGGTTTACG-3' was provided by Gene Tools, LLC Co.

RESULTS

Cloning of AXPC cDNA in Xenopus and Mouse

An *AXPC* fragment was amplified by PCR using primers designed to hybridize to the partial *AXPC* clone previously described by Kim *et al.* (1998). This fragment was used to screen a *Xenopus* gastrula-stage cDNA library. A full-length *AXPC* clone was isolated (5643 bp, Accession No. AB066361) with a single open reading frame encoding 1016 amino acids (Fig. 1A), including a signal peptide (SP), six extracellular cadherin repeats (EC 1-6), a membrane-

FIG. 3. AXPC mediates homophilic cell sorting in cell dissociation and reaggregation assays. (A) Steps involved in the cell dissociation and reaggregation procedure. Reaggregates were made using blastula ectodermal cells (animal cap cells). Method 1: to induce particular tissue types. For example, if dissociated cells were treated with 1 ng/ml of activin for 1 h, reaggregates differentiate into only notochord. Method 2: to examine the sorting activity of cells. (B) Prenotochord cells show potent sorting activity. (a) The 3-day cultured reaggregate derived from the cells of Method 1 in (A) differentiated into only notochord. Note: The vacuolated structure is the typical feature of notochord tissue. (b) The reaggregate mixed with untreated cells using Method 2 in (A) did not show sorting, but (c) the red-labeled cells treated with 1 ng/ml of activin mimicked the prenotochord cells and demonstrated potent sorting activity. In this case, a vacuolated structure could not be detected because the culture time was reduced (10 h). The frequency of this sorting event was 100% (n = 8). (C) Dissociation and reaggregation assay using AXPC constructs (Fig. 1B, 4, 5) and morpholino oligo. (a) When reaggregates were made from cells injected with 500 pg of MT-AXPC mRNA (red) and untreated cells (green), the frequency of a sorting event (FSE) was 87.5% (n = 8). The details of (b-h) are summarized in (D). (D) Table of the results in Fig. 3C, a-h. MI, microinjection of mRNA or morpholino oligo in a four-cell stage embryo. TR, activin treatment to dissociated cells. non, no injection and no treatment. n, total number of experiments. So, the total number of reaggregates to show sorting. FSE, frequency of the sorting event (%).



FIG. 4. Gain-of-function experiments using *MT-AXPC* mRNA led to the acquisition of adhesive properties by cells around the prenotochord. (A) (a) *Xnot* expression in nontreated embryo at stage

proximal extracellular domain (MPED), a transmembrane domain (TM), a hydrophilic domain (HYD), and a cytoplasmic domain (CPD) (Fig. 1B) as described by Nollet et al. (2000). However, AXPC lacks a membrane-proximal conserved domain (MPCD) and a catenin-binding domain (CBD), both of which play a crucial role in lateral clustering, cytoskeletal interactions, and adhesion of cadherins (Stappert et al., 1994; Yap et al., 1998). A gene with this domain structure (6 ECs and 1 MPED) has never been reported in Xenopus. Full-length human protocadherin-1 (H-PCD1, Sano et al., 1993) has a 72% amino acid identity with full-length Xenopus AXPC, and was therefore regarded as the human homologue of AXPC (Fig. 1C). We also isolated a partial mouse homologue of AXPC (M-AXPC) from embryonic day 7 mouse cDNA libraries by PCR using primers designed to the mouse EST database sequence. M-AXPC encodes a partial EC4, full EC5, and partial EC6 domain (663 bp, 221 aa; Fig. 1B, 7). Amino acid identities of these regions of Xenopus-mouse, Xenopus-human, and mousehuman were 75, 74, and 96%, respectively (Fig. 1C). The identities of 72% in the full-length gene (Xenopus and human) and more than 74% in the partial sequence (Xenopus-human-mouse), indicating that AXPC must be a conserved gene throughout vertebrate evolution.

AXPC Expression and Morphogenesis

Temporal expression analyzed by RT-PCR (Fig. 2A) revealed a low abundance of transcripts from stage 1 to approximately stage 9. After the start of gastrulation (stage

13 and (a') transversal view. (b) Xnot expression in 500 pg of MT-AXPC mRNA-injected embryo at stage 13 and (b') transversal view. Xnot expressed region was expanded in the case of (b). (B) (a) Control embryos at stage 16 and (a') transversal view stained by hematoxilin and eosin. (b) Microinjection of 500 pg of MT-AXPC mRNA into two dorsal blastmeres at the four-cell stage, which inhibited normal neural formation and interfered with notochord formation at stage 16 (87.5%, n = 24), and (b') transversal view of (b). (c-e), (c'-e') Section in situ hybridization analysis using the posterior neural marker, HoxD1 (c), notochord marker, Chordin (d), and somite marker, MyoD (e). The control embryos and MT-AXPCinjected embryos were fixed, frozen, sectioned at stage 16, and hybridized with probes. Black arrowheads indicate markerdetected regions. no, notochord. so, somite. (C) Later effects of MT-AXPC. The left panel shows a section of a stage 32 control embryo, which had been injected with fluorescein-dextran amine (FDA) lineage tracer into two dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage. The green regions are cells derived from the dorsal sites of four-cell stage embryos. The right panel indicates a section of 500 pg of MT-AXPC mRNA and FDA coinjected embryo. Since many embryos died by stage 30, we collected the surviving embryos at stage 30 and continued culturing of sibling embryos at stage 32. Inhibition of the notochord separation from the neural tube, somites, and endoderm was detected (57.9%, n = 19). nu, neural tube; no, notochord; so, somite.

10), the level of AXPC expression increased by one order of magnitude (Fig. 2A). AXPC was also expressed abundantly in gastrula (stages 10–12 in Fig. 2A), neurula (stages 14 and 17 in Fig. 2A), and tailbud stages (stages 20, 25, 30, and 38 in Fig. 2A). In addition, further RT-PCR analyses at gastrula (stage 10) showed that AXPC was expressed in the dorsal marginal zone but not in the ventral or lateral marginal zones (Fig. 2B). Thus, although we could not detect expression by in situ hybridization at early gastrula (data not shown), RT-PCR showed that AXPC gene expression must be dorsal-specific at the beginning of zygotic expression. RT-PCR provided us with a semiquantitative measure of the AXPC expression, and whole-mount in situ hybridization detected a weak but clear specific expression of AXPC in the notochord at stage 14 (data not shown) and strong expression at stage 18 (Figs. 2C and 2C'). At the late tailbud stage (stage 28), AXPC was expressed strongly in the pronephros and also detectable in the somites, heart (Fig. 2D), optic vesicles, otic vesicles, and some parts of the anterior central nervous system (Fig. 2D').

RT-PCR analyses using the potent mesoderm inducer activin and intact animal caps or dissociated animal cap cells revealed a more specific AXPC expression pattern in the early stages (Figs. 2E and 2F). Activin used at 50–100 ng/ml is known to strongly induce notochord tissue (Asashima et al., 1990, 1991, 1999; Green et al., 1990) as well as many mesodermal and neural tissues. When we cut animal caps at stage 9, treated them with 50-100 ng/ml of activin for 1 h, and cultured them for 5 h, AXPC expressions reached their maximum (Fig. 2E). Since this in vitro condition of 6 h culturing after stage 9 is representive of the late gastrula (stage 12–12.5) in vivo, AXPC must be expressed in prenotochord cells in stage 12-12.5 embryos. When dissociated animal cap cells are treated with activin for 1 h, washed, reaggregated, and then cultured for 3 days (Fig. 3A, Method 1), the reaggregates differentiate into notochord only with vacuole structure of the feature as notochord (Fig. 3B, a) (Kuroda et al., 1999). Thus, 0- to 5-h cultured reaggregates after 1 h of treatment with 1 ng/ml are thought to mimic the presumptive notochord. It is not known why the activin response of dissociated animal cap cells is much higher than that of intact animal cap cells. One reason may be that dissociated cells have more free receptors to bind activin than intact animal caps. The other may be that inhibitors, such as activin (Tanegashima et al., 2000) and BMP4 (Sasai et al., 1995; Piccolo et al., 1996) are lost when animal cap cells are dissociated. We detected high expression of the transcripts in reaggregates derived from cells treated for 1 h and cultured for 5 h in this way (Fig. 2F, lane 2). We could not detect AXPC expression in the conditions designed to induce atypical epidermis (Fig. 2F, lane 1) and endodermal yolk-rich tissue (Fig. 2F, lanes 3 and 4). These results also strongly indicate that AXPC is expressed in the prenotochord cells. To determine when the expression of AXPC is initiated by activin, cells were cultured in 1 ng/ml activin for up to 2 h. AXPC expression was detected from 30 min of treatment (Fig. 2F, lane 6), suggesting that AXPC



FIG. 5. Loss-of-function experiment using dominant negative *DN-AXPC* mRNA or morpholino antisense oligo. (A) Microinjection of 1 ng of *DN-AXPC* mRNA resulted in a rounded outward form (left, 25.0%, n = 24) and loss of normal notochord formation (right, 16.7%, n = 24). (B) (a) Control wild-type embryos. (b–d) 10 ng of morpholino oligo-injected embryos. Twenty-three percent of injected embryos developed normally (b). Fifty-nine percent of injected embryos produced abnormal axis formation (c). Eighteen percent of embryos produced the dominant negative-like effects, unclosed neural tissue, and died by stage 30 (d). All embryos injected with 20 ng of control morpholino developed normally (see table).

was not only downstream of activin induction but also must be expressed very early in the prenotochord region (Fig. 2E). Taken together with the *in situ* hybridization and RT-PCR data, these results indicate that *AXPC* is expressed



FIG. 6. Model summarizing spatial regulation patterns in early gastrula (left figure) and early tailbud stage (right figure). The model of early gastrula is shown in the dorsal view and above-below indicates anterior-posterior in future morphogenesis. Small circle indicates the yolk endoderm. Green region indicates the PAPC expressed region, and the red region indicates the *Xlim1* and *Xnot* expressed region. The model of late stage is shown in the transversal view and above-below indicates dorsal-ventral. nu, neural tube; no, notochord; so, somite; pn, pronephros tube; lp, lateral plate; end, endoderm. Red region indicates the *Xlim1* expressed region (Chan *et al.,* 2000).

in prenotochord at gastrula, notochord at neurula, and in several tissue types at the late tailbud stage.

AXPC Mediates Cell Sorting in Vitro

Functional analyses of AXPC were performed by using two mutant constructs; a membrane-tethered form, which lacks the CPD (Fig. 1B, 4, MT-AXPC), and a dominantnegative secreted form (Fig. 1B, 5, DN-AXPC). A modified cell dissociation and reaggregation assay was used to determine whether AXPC mediates cell adhesion (Fig. 3A, Method 2). From preliminary experiments, we knew that full-length AXPC did not work well as an adhesive mediator (data not shown). This was not unexpected because PAPC is also an adhesive molecule, and if it has the cytoplasmic region intact, sorting activity goes down (Kim et al., 1998). The sorting activity of AXPC or PAPC, like protocadherin molecules, may be negatively regulated by the cytoplasmic region under normal conditions. Therefore, we constructed MT-AXPC to study the sorting activity of the AXPC molecule alone. Within reaggregates, cells injected with either lineage tracer alone were evenly interspersed (Fig. 3B, b), but if red cells were treated with 1 ng/ml of activin to induce notochord, red cells associated together

in the central region of the reaggregates during the 10-h culture (Fig. 3B, c; Kuroda *et al.*, 1999). The yellow region indicates mixed red- and green-labeled cells.

The mutant AXPC constructs were also tested for cell adhesion activity by using the method described in Fig. 3A, Method 2. Cells coinjected with MT-AXPC mRNA and TRDA sorted into reaggregates after 10 h of incubation (Fig. 3C, a), as did cells treated with 1 ng/ml activin (Fig. 3B, c). These aggregating cells could be regarded as having potent adhesive properties. However, neither cells injected with MT-AXPC mRNA alone nor those treated with activin showed sorting (Fig. 3C, b). These results suggest that MT-AXPC can induce the same adhesive properties in animal cap cells as in presumptive notochord cells. The EC domain of PAPC acts as an efficient dominant-negative inhibitor of endogenous PAPC (Kim et al., 1998). This property was exploited to block AXPC function in light of its likely homophilic adhesive properties (Kim et al., 1998; Brieher et al., 1996). Overexpression of DN-AXPC inhibited sorting induced by MT-AXPC or activin in a specific manner (Fig. 3C, c, d). This dominant-negative form was effective only against AXPC because DN-AXPC did not interfere with the sorting induced by FL-PAPC (Fig. 3C, g. h). From these results, we concluded that AXPC could mediate notochord-specific cell adhesion via its extracellular domains.

Gain-of-Function Experiments in Vivo

To investigate whether and how AXPC mediates cell sorting in vivo, two different types of AXPC mRNA, MT-AXPC and DN-AXPC, were injected into the two dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage. Xnot is a marker gene expressed in the notochord region at neurula stage (Fig. 4A, a, a'; Gont *et al.*, 1996). We detected much wider expression of Xnot in the MT-AXPC-injected embryos (Fig. 4A, b, b'). In Xenopus, the notochord cells have already separated from the surrounding somitic mesoderm tissue by stage 16 (Fig. 4B, a, a'). However, embryos injected with 500 pg of MT-AXPC mRNA showed no evidence of a notochord distinct from the surrounding somitic mesoderm tissue at stage 16 (Fig. 4B, b, b'). To address the fate of the notochord cells, somitic mesoderm cells, and neural cells, we conducted in situ hybridization on sections of the injected embryos to detect expression of the posterior neural marker, HoxD1 (Kolm and Sive, 1992), notochord marker, Chordin (Sasai et al., 1994), and paraxial mesoderm marker, MyoD (Harvey, 1992). HoxD1 expression was weaker than in the controls and located along the Chordin-expressing region (Fig. 4B, c, c', d, d'). MyoD was expressed at control levels but was located more in paraxial regions (Fig. 4B, e, e'). It may be interpreted from these results that changes in the location of notochord cells induced by the MT-AXPC caused the abnormal formation of surrounding tissues. More than half of the embryos injected with MT-AXPC did not develop past stage 20, although some did continue development after that stage. Figure 4C (left) shows a control embryo injected with FDA lineage tracer into two dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage; clear spaces can be seen between the notochord and other tissues. Embryos injected with MT-AXPC and the FDA tracer showed no obvious separation of notochord from surrounding tissues (Fig. 4C, right). These data directly indicate that Xenopus embryogenesis is strongly affected by the extracellular domain of AXPC.

Loss-of-Function Experiments in Vivo

The failure of the notochord to correctly separate from mesodermal cells may be due to a marked change in cell adhesion properties resulting from the experiments using *MT-AXPC*. As mentioned, *DN-AXPC* was used in similar experiments to examine the loss-of-function effects *in vivo*. Some embryos injected with 1 ng of *DN-AXPC* mRNA appeared to be malformed and shortened after stage 14, and sections showed these embryos to lack normal notochord formation (Fig. 5A). The frequency of this inhibited phenotype was 25% (6/24). We could not show rescue experiments because coinjections of *MT-AXPC* (500 pg) and *DN-AXPC* (1 ng) induced an exogastrulation phenotype. Exogastrulation is the typical phenotype resulting from an excess of injected

mRNA injection. An antisense approach to inject morpholino oligo and inhibit the translation of the DN-AXPC (Heasman et al., 2000) supported the data of the DN-AXPC-injected phenotypes (Fig. 5B). The phenotypic abnormalities observed in the morpholino oligo-injected embryos were milder than those of DN-AXPC-injected embryos, but the efficiency of inhibition was increased. More than half of the embryos did not show straight axis formation (77%, Fig. 5B, c, d), and 18% showed an unclosed neural tube and died by stage 30 (Fig. 5B, d). The injection volume of 10 ng is not toxic for normal development as 20 ng was injected into control morpholinoinjected embryos and these developed normally (Fig. 5B, table). These results suggest that loss-of-function of the isolated AXPC can cause abnormal axis formation and neural induction, but that the level of this abnormality was greater in some of the DN-AXPC-injected embryos. It is possible that genes homologous to AXPC are expressed at the protein level, but are not inhibited by the morpholino oligo at the translational level. This is supported by the cell dissociation and reaggregation assays, where morpholino oligos inhibited sorting in response to MT-AXPC but not activin treatment (Fig. 3C, e, f). AXPC may be one of the adhesive molecules working downstream of activin or in the prenotochord region. Since prenotochord cell sorting is an important phenomenon, it is not surprising that many genes with similar functions exist in the prenotochord region.

DISCUSSION

Xenopus, Humans, and Mice Have the AXPC Gene

In this study, we isolated the full-length *Xenopus AXPC* gene and a partial fragment of the mouse AXPC gene, and identified human protocadherin-1 from sequenced databases as a homologue of AXPC. The sequence identities between Xenopus-mouse, Xenopus-human, and mousehuman AXPC were 75, 74, and 96%, respectively (Fig. 1C). These scores are reasonable in terms of the evolutionary distance between amphibians and mammals for these genes to be homologues. The existence of high sequence identities for AXPC between Xenopus and other vertebrates suggests that this molecule may be essential for notochord formation in early vertebrate development. As to whether other animals also have this gene, the paraxial protocadherin gene is expressed in the somite region next to the notochord in Xenopus (Kim et al., 1998, 2000), zebrafish (Yamamoto et al., 1998), and mice (Yamamoto et al., 2000); therefore zebrafish must have the axial protocadherin gene. We propose that all vertebrates may use this gene for notochord formation, and isolating the homologues of this gene is an important focus of future research.

Sorting Activity by AXPC

We demonstrated sorting to occur in reaggregates cultured for 10 h with *MT-AXPC* mRNA-expressing cells. This time course is representative because the sorting event

caused by activin also happens at approximately 10 h after treatment (Kuroda et al., 1999). Interestingly, although the sorting activity mediated by activin is continuously maintained, the activity induced by MT-AXPC later loses effectiveness, and cells cultured for more than 20 h did not exhibit potent sorting activity (data not shown). These findings indicate two possibilities. One is that there is no AXPC mRNA left in reaggregates cultured for 20 h with the *MT-AXPC*-expressing cells, and *AXPC* is unlikely to induce additional adhesive molecules. Initially, we expected that AXPC would have some additional activities, as paraxial protocadherin can induce the paraxial mesoderm marker, myoD, and promote convergent-extension movements and cell shape changes (Kim et al., 1998). However, AXPC did not show such additional activity. Therefore, all mRNA must be translated and degraded between 10 and 20 h of culture. The other possibility is that cell-cell binding in the prenotochord requires another similar type of adhesive molecule. We showed that DN-AXPC can readily interfere with the sorting activity mediated by both *MT*-AXPC and activin (Fig. 3C, c, d), as well as in vivo (Fig. 5A). However, morpholino oligos of AXPC did not inhibit the activity mediated by activin (Fig. 3C, e, f) and the effects due to the morpholino oligo were weaker than those seen with the dominant negative AXPC (Fig. 5). Consistent with our results, mouse dominant negative paraxial protocadherin is effective, but the null mutant of paraxial protocadherin has no effect on mouse development (Yamamoto et al., 2000). These molecules may have similar genes playing the same role in the expressed region. If this is true, the mouse AXPC knock out mutant might also be ineffective as a PAPC null mutant.

Hypothesis of Early Morphogenesis Using the Protocadherin Model

Zygotic AXPC expression starts at stage 10 (Fig. 2A) and we detected localized expression in the dorsal marginal zone at stage 10 (Fig. 2B), prenotochord region at gastrula (Figs. 2E and 2F), notochord region at stage 18 (Figs. 2C, C'), and pronephros region at stage 26 (Fig. 2D). This expression pattern is quite similar to the LIM class homeobox gene Xlim-1. Xlim-1 is expressed in Xenopus embryos in the dorsal lip at stage 10, notochord at neurula, and the pronephros and certain cells of the central nervous system at tailbud stage (Taira et al., 1992, 1994a,b; Chan et al., 2000). In preliminary experiments using the animal cap assay, we have shown that some organizer genes, including Xlim1, induce AXPC overexpression. Thus, Xlim1 is one of the strongest candidates to induce AXPC in vivo and thereby regulate cell sorting activity. In fact, AXPC and Xlim1 expression in the notochord and pronephros must require tight regulation of sorting activity to form the tissue structure because prenotochord cells must separate from surrounding mesodermal cells, and pronephros tube must separate from the lateral plate cells. Thus, the relationship

between *Xlim1* and *AXPC* is clearly an important subject for future studies.

At early gastrula, PAPC is strongly expressed while AXPC is weakly expressed in the dorsal marginal zone (Kim et al., 1998, Fig. 2B). By midgastrula, PAPC expression is found in the mesodermal mantle but is repressed in the future notochord region, where *Xlim1* is strongly expressed. PAPC expression is induced by Vg1 (Zhang and King, 1996) and VegT (Zhang et al., 1998), but inhibited by Xnot (Kim et al., 1998), while Xlim1 is probably upstream of AXPC. Cerberus encodes a secreted factor expressed in anterior endomesoderm that functions to inhibit the Wnt, Nodal, and BMP signals that promote head formation (Bouwmeester et al., 1996, Picollo et al., 1999). Taken together, these results suggest that multiple signaling pathways regulate the spatial pattern of expression of the AXPC and PAPC structural genes in the early gastrulating mesoderm. In early-stage embryos, *PAPC* is expressed in the dorsal lip region, but moves laterally due to inhibition of Xnot. *Xlim1*, which is coexpressed with *Xnot*, induces *AXPC* expression, perhaps initiating AXPC to induce the axial mesodermal region via its potent sorting activity (Fig. 6). Alternatively, Xenopus may utilize the AXPC sorting activity to induce pronephros formation along with other structures (Fig. 6). The AXPC isolated here will allow us to test whether regulatory cell interactions, such as those highlighted in this study, are conserved across the other tissues in Xenopus, and during the developments of other animals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Human recombinant activin A was kindly provided by Dr. Yuzuru Eto, Ajinomoto Co., Kawasaki, Japan. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan, and CREST (Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology) of the Japan Science and Technology Corporation. We thank Dr. Nishinakamura for teaching the method of *in situ* hybridization on section to us.

REFERENCES

- Adams, D. S., Keller, R., and Koehl, M. A. R. (1990). The mechanics of notochord elongation, straightening, and stiffening in the embryo of *Xenopus laevis*. *Development* **110**, 115–130.
- Asashima, M., Kinoshita, K., Ariizumi, T., and Malacinski, G. M. (1999). Role of activin and other peptide growth factors in body patterning in the early amphibian embryo. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **191**, 1–52.
- Asashima, M., Nakano, H., Shimada, K., Kinoshita, K., Ishii, K., Shibai, H., and Ueno, N. (1990). Mesodermal induction in early amphibian embryos by activin A (erythroid differentiation factor). *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **198**, 330–335.
- Asashima, M., Nakano, H., Uchiyama, H., Sugino, H., Nakamura, T., Eto, Y., Ejima, D., Nishimatsu, S., Ueno, N., and Kinoshita, K. (1991). Presence of activin (erythroid differentiation factor) in unfertilized eggs and blastulae of *Xenopus laevis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 6511–6514.

- Bouwmeester, T., Kim, S. H., Yoshiki, S., Lu, B., and De Robertis, E. M. (1996). Cerberus is a head-inducing secreted factor expressed in the anterior endoderm of Spemann's organizer. *Nature* 382, 595–601.
- Brieher, W. M., Yap, A. S., and Gumbiner, B. M. (1996). Lateral dimerization is required for the homophilic binding activity of C-cadherin. J. Cell Biol. 135, 487–496.
- Chan, T., Takahashi, S., and Asashima, M. (2000). A role for Xlim-1 in pronephros development in Xenopus laevis. Dev. Biol. **228**, 256–269.
- Danos, M. C., and Yost, H. J. (1996). Role of notochord in specification of cardiac left-right orientation in zebrafish and *Xenopus*. *Dev. Biol.* 177, 96–103.
- Dietrich, S., Schubert, F. R., and Gruss, P. (1993). Altered *Pax* gene expression in murine notochord mutants: The notochord is required to initiate and maintain ventral identity in the somite. *Mech. Dev.* **44**, 189–207.
- Gallin, W. J., Edelman, G. M., and Cunningham, B. A. (1983). Characterization of L-CAM, a major cell adhesion molecule from embryonic liver cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **80**, 1038–1042.
- Gont, L. K., Fainsod, A., Kim, S. H., and De Robertis, E. M. (1996). Overexpression of the homeobox gene *Xnot-2* leads to notochord formation in Xenopus. *Dev. Biol.* **174**, 174–178.
- Green, J. B., Cook, T. L., Smith, J. C., and Grainger, R. M. (1997). Anteroposterior neural tissue specification by activin-induced mesoderm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 8596–8601.
- Green, J. B., Smith, J. C., and Gerhart, J. C. (1994). Slow emergence of a multithreshold response to activin requires cell-contactdependent sharpening but not prepattern. *Development* 120, 2271–2278.
- Green, J. B., and Smith, J. C. (1990). Graded changes in dose of a Xenopus activin A homologue elicits stepwise transitions in embryonic cell fate. *Nature* **347**, 391–394.
- Halpern, M. E., Ho, R. K., Walker, C., and Kimmel, C. B. (1993). Induction of muscle pioneers and floor plate is distinguished by the zebrafish *no tail* mutation. *Cell* **75**, 99–111.
- Hamburger, V. (1988). "The Heritage of Experimental Embryology. Hans Spemann and the Organizer." Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
- Hatta, K., Kimmel, C. B., Ho, R. K., and Walker, C. (1991). The *cyclops* mutation blocks specification of the floor plate of the zebrafish central nervous system. *Nature* **350**, 339–341.
- Harvey, R. P. (1992). MyoD protein expression in Xenopus embryos closely follows a mesoderm induction-dependent amplification of MyoD transcription and is synchronous across the future somite axis. *Mech. Dev.* **37**, 141–149.
- Heasman, J., Kofron, M., and Wylie, C. (2000). Beta-catenin signaling activity dissected in the early Xenopus embryo: A novel antisense approach. *Dev. Biol.* **222**, 124–134.
- Hebrok, M., Kim, S. K., and Melton, D. A. (1998). Notochord repression of endodermal sonic hedgehog permits pancreas development. *Genes Dev.* **12**, 1705–1713.
- Hemmati-Brivanlou, A., Stewart, R. M., and Harland, R. M. (1990). Region-specific neural induction of an engrailed protein by anterior notochord in *Xenopus. Science* 250, 800–802.
- Holtfreter, J. F. (1988). A new look at Spemann's organizer. In "Developmental Biology" (L. W. Browder, Ed.), Vol. 5, pp. 127– 153. Plenum, New York.
- Kim, S. K., Hebrok, M., and Melton, D. A. (1997). Notochord to endoderm signaling is required for pancreas development. *Devel*opment **124**, 4243–4252.

- Kim, S. H., Jen, W. C., De Robertis, E. M., and Kintner, C. (2000). The protocadherin *PAPC* establishes segmental boundaries during somitogenesis in *Xenopus* embryos. *Curr. Biol.* **10**, 821–830.
- Kim, S. H., Yamamoto, A., Bouwmeester, T., Agius, E., and De Robertis, E. M. (1998). The role of paraxial protocadherin in selective adhesion and cell movements of the mesoderm during *Xenopus* gastrulation. *Development* **125**, 4681–4690.
- Kolm, P. J., and Sive, H. L. (1992). Regulation of the Xenopus labial homeodomain genes, HoxA1 and HoxD1: Activation by retinoids and peptide growth factors. *Dev. Biol.* **167**, 34–49.
- Krauss, S., Concordet, J. P., and Ingham, P. W. (1993). A functionally conserved homolog of the *Drosophila* segment polarity gene *hh* is expressed in tissues with polarizing activity in zebrafish embryos. *Cell* **75**, 1431–1444.
- Kuroda, H., Sakumoto, H., Kinoshita, K., and Asashima, M. (1999). Changes in the adhesive properties of dissociation-andreaggregation *Xenopus laevis* embryo cells. *Dev. Growth Differ.* **41**, 283–291.
- Miyatani, S., Shimamura, K., Hatta, K., Nagafuchi, A., Nose, A., Matsunaga, M., Hatta, K., and Takeichi, M. (1989). Neural cadherin: Role in selective cell-cell adhesion. *Science*, **245**, 631-635.
- Nieuwkoop, P. D., and Faber, J. (1967). "Normal Table of *Xenopus laevis* (Daudin)," 2nd ed. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Nollet, F., Kools, P., and van Roy, F. (2000). Phylogenetic analysis of the cadherin superfamily allows identification of six major subfamilies besides several solitary members. *J. Mol. Biol.* **299**, 551–572.
- Nose, A., Nagafuchi, A., and Takeichi, M. (1988). Expressed recombinant cadherins mediate cell sorting in model systems. *Cell* 54, 993–1001.
- Nose, A., and Takeichi, M. (1986). A novel cadherin cell adhesion molecule: Its expression patterns associated with implantation and organogenesis of mouse embryos. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 30965– 30972.
- Pourquie, O., Coltey, M., Teillet, M. A., Ordahl, C., and Le Douarin, N. M. (1993). Control of dorsoventral patterning of somitic derivatives by notochord and floor plate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **90**, 5242–5246.
- Picollo, S., Agius, E., Leyns, L., Bhattacharya, S., grunz, H., Bouwmeester, T., and De Robertis, E. M. (1999). The head inducer Cerberus is a multifunctional antagonist of Nodal, BMP, and Wnt signals. *Nature* **397**, 707–710.
- Piccolo, S., Sasai, Y., Lu, B., and De Robertis, E. M. (1996). Dorsoventral patterning in *Xenopus*: inhibition of ventral signals by direct binding of chordin to BMP-4. *Cell* 86, 589–598.
- Roelink, H., Augsburger, A., Heemskerk, J., Korzh, V., Norlin, S., Ruiz I Altaba, A., Tanabe, Y., Placzek, M., Edlund, T., and Jessell, T. M. (1994). Floor plate and motor neuron induction by *vhh-1*, a vertebrate homolog of hedgehog expressed by the notochord. *Cell* 76, 761–775.
- Sano, K., Tanihara, H., Heimark, R. L., Obata, S., Davidson, M., St John, T., Taketani, S., and Suzuki, S. (1993). Protocadherins: a large family of cadherin-related molecules in central nervous system. *EMBO J.* **12**, 2249–2256.
- Sasai, Y., Lu, B., Steinbeisser, H., and De Robertis, E. M. (1995). Regulation of neural induction by the Chd and Bmp-4 antagonistic patterning signals in *Xenopus. Nature* **376**, 333–336.
- Sasai, Y., Lu, B., Steinbeisser, H., Geissert, D., Gont, L. K., and De Robertis, E. M. (1994). Xenopus chordin: A novel dorsalizing factor activated by organizer-specific homeobox genes. *Cell* 79, 779–790.

- Schuh, R., Vestweber, D., Riede, I., Ringwald, M., Rosenberg, U. B., Jackle, H., and Kemler, R. (1986). Molecular cloning of the mouse cell adhesion molecule uvomorulin; cDNA contains a B1-related sequence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83, 1364–1368.
- Spemann, H. (1938). "Embryonic Development and Induction." Hafner, New York.
- Spemann, H., and Mangold, H. (1924). Induction of embryonic primordia by implantation of organizers from a different species. In "*Foundations of Experimental Embryology*" (B. H. Willier and J. M. Oppenheimer, Eds.), pp. 144–184. Hafner, New York,
- Stappert, J., and Kemler, R. (1994). A short core region of E-cadherin is essential for catenin binding and is highly phosphorylated. *Cell Adhes. Commun.* 2, 319–327.
- Steinberg, M. S. (1964). The problem of adhesive selectivity in cellular interactions. In "Cellular Membranes in Development" (M. Locke Ed.), pp., 321–434. Academic Press, New York.
- Taira, M., Jamrich, M., Good, P. J., and Dawid, I. B. (1992). The LIM domain-containing homeo box gene *Xlim-1* is expressed specifically in the organizer region of *Xenopus* gastrula embryos. *Genes Dev.* **6**, 356–366.
- Taira, M., Otani, H., Saint-Jeannet, J. P., and Dawid, I. B. (1994a). Role of the LIM class homeodomain protein *Xlim-1* in neural and muscle induction by the Spemann organizer in *Xenopus. Nature* **372**, 677–679.
- Taira, M., Otani, H., Jamrich, M., and Dawid, I. B. (1994b). Expression of the LIM class homeobox gene *Xlim-1* in pronephros and CNS cell lineages of *Xenopus* embryos is affected by retinoic acid and exogastrulation. *Development* **120**, 1525–1536.
- Takeichi, M. (1991). Cadherin cell adhesion receptors as a morphogenetic regulator. *Science* **251**, 1451–1455.
- Tanegashima, K., Yokota, C., Takahashi, S., and Asashima, M. (2000). Expression cloning of *Xantivin*, a *Xenopus lefty/antivin*-

related gene, involved in the regulation of activin signaling during mesoderm induction. *Mech. Dev.* **99**, 3–14.

- Townes, P. L., and Holtfreter, J. (1955). Directed movements and selective adhesion of embryonic amphibian cells. *J. Exp. Zool.*, **128**, 53–120.
- Yamada, T., Placzek, M., Tanaka, H., Dodd, J., and Jessell, T. M. (1991). Control of cell pattern in the developing nervous system: Polarizing activity of the floor plate and notochord. *Cell* **64**, 635–647.
- Yamamoto, A., Amacher, S. L., Kim, S. H., Geissert, D., Kimmel, C. B., and De Robertis, E. M. (1998). Zebrafish *paraxial protocadherin* is a downstream target of spadetail involved in morphogenesis of gastrula mesoderm. *Development* **125**, 3389–3397.
- Yamamoto, A., Kemp, C., Bachiller, D., Geissert, D., and De Robertis, E. M. (2000). Mouse *paraxial protocadherin* is expressed in trunk mesoderm and is not essential for mouse development. *Genesis* 27, 49–57.
- Yap, A. S., Niessen, C. M., and Gumbiner, B. M. (1998). The juxtamembrane region of the cadherin cytoplasmic tail supports lateral clustering, adhesive strengthening, and interaction with p120ctn. J. Cell Biol. 141, 779–789.
- Zhang, J., and King, M. L. (1996). *Xenopus VegT* RNA is localized to the vegetal cortex during oogenesis and encodes a novel T-box transcription factor involved in mesodermal patterning. *Development* **122**, 3719–3724.
- Zhang, J., Houston, D. W., King, M. L., Payne, C. Wylie, C., and Heasman, J. (1998). The role of maternal *VegT* in establishing the primary germ layers in embryos. *Cell* **94**, 515–524.

Received for publication July 27, 2001 Revised December 14, 2001 Accepted December 20, 2001 Published online March 11, 2002